ABSTRACT

We develop a multilevel theory of the activation and regulation of bio-emotional energy in entrepreneurial systems to explain the transformational endogenous dynamics by which the entrepreneur’s vision of a new future enterprise is realized. By an entrepreneurial system we mean the entrepreneur and the core group of individuals who collaborate to achieve the entrepreneur’s vision or goal (Bruyat & Julien, 2001). We begin with the premise that the entrepreneur is passionately committed to the implementation of a “new” idea that defies or goes beyond the current norms and/or rationality of the existing business order, and that the entrepreneurial system is an order for generating innovative and/or radical economic change in society. But change—the creation of something new—requires additional bio-emotional energy beyond that needed for the maintenance of established order. Drawing on Bradley and Pribram’s (1998) theory of social communication, in which the principles of quantum holography are combined with complex dynamical systems theory—so-called “chaos theory” (Prigogine, 1977; Prigogine & Stengers, 1984)—we describe how the psychophysiological and socioemotional energetic interactions within and among the members of the entrepreneurial system operate to generate a stable platform of psychosocial organization for building a transformational enterprise that is intuitively in-formed (given shape) by the entrepreneur’s passionately-held vision of the future. The theory also explains how these dynamics affect the degree to which a coherent order of socioemotional relations emerges and describes how socioemotional coherence can amplify the energetic signal by which future opportunities can be intuitively located and intentionally actualized into being.

INTRODUCTION

The goal of this paper is to develop a multilevel account of the endogenous dynamics of entrepreneurial systems—of how the psychophysiological and socioemotional energetic interactions within and among the members of the entrepreneurial system operate to generate a stable platform of psychosocial organization for building a transformational enterprise that is intuitively informed by the entrepreneur’s passionately-held vision of the future. By an entrepreneurial system we mean the entrepreneur and the core group of individuals who collaborate to achieve the entrepreneur’s vision or goal (Bruyat & Julien, 2001). For the purposes of theory construction, we leave aside the influence of external forces and conditions which, in their own right, may be deterministic of the success or failure of a given entrepreneurial venture (Acs et al., 2003). This is an ambitious undertaking, and the reader is forewarned that it requires working with concepts and principles from the natural sciences.

Following a brief review of the current understanding of the psychosocial organization of entrepreneurial systems and its relationship to entrepreneurial action and success, we begin with the premise that there are certain normative, relational, and transformational imperatives that entrepreneurial systems share in common with charismatic systems. In particular, like a charismatic leader, the entrepreneur is passionately committed to the implementation of a “new” idea that defies or goes beyond the current norms and/or rationality of the existing business order; also, like a charismatic system, the entrepreneurial system is an order for generating innovative and/or radical economic change in society. Yet change—the creation of
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something new—requires mobilizing additional bio-emotional energy beyond that needed for the maintenance of established order, which can be problematic for group stability. In the absence of systematic empirical description of the endogenous order and dynamics of entrepreneurial systems, we use evidence from a fine-grained network analysis of charismatic groups (Bradley, 1987) to provide a view of the relational dynamics of transformational systems; this study documents a close coupling between the bonds of positive affect and those of social control for organizational stability in charismatic systems.

To understand the dynamics of energy activation and control, we draw on Bradley and Pribram’s (1998) theory of social communication, in which the principles of quantum holography are combined with complex dynamical systems theory—so-called “chaos theory” (Prigogine, 1977; Prigogine & Stengers, 1984). We describe how the bio-emotional energy of the members of the entrepreneurial system are activated and regulated by socioemotional interactions among all members, thereby mobilizing the requisite energy for change and creating a stable platform of psychosocial organization for the transformational enterprise. The theory also explains how these dynamics affect the degree to which a coherent order of socioemotional relations emerges and describes how socioemotional coherence can amplify the energetic signal by which future opportunities can be intuitively located and intentionally actualized into being.

To place our work in context, what follows begins with a brief review of the present understanding of the psychosocial organization of entrepreneurial systems and its relationship to entrepreneurial action and success. Following a review of some empirical evidence on the structure and dynamics of transformational systems, we then move to the task of theory construction—building a theory of the dynamics of the psychophysiological and socioemotional energetic interactions within the entrepreneurial system by which an intuitively informed transformational psychosocial order is generated—the primary concern of this work.

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

The problem addressed in this work has its locus in the psychosocial organization of entrepreneurial systems and its relationship to entrepreneurial action and success. However, most of the recent research has been focused primarily at the individual level of analysis in an effort to identify those characteristics of the entrepreneur that explain successful entrepreneurial decision and action. Much of this work has adopted a so-called cognitive perspective, as we will see in a moment, which has been adapted from neuroscience. Studies at the global level of analysis, dedicated to understanding how the organization and dynamics of the entrepreneurial system as a whole contribute to successful entrepreneurial ventures, have been much rarer. A notable recent exception is a theoretical work by Zahra et al. (2006), delineating key differences in dynamic capabilities between new ventures and established companies. They conclude that entrepreneurial activities directly affect organizational performance which, in turn, feeds back to affect new entrepreneurial choices in selecting opportunities. Similar views are expressed by Zahra, Kuratko and Jennings (1999) for entrepreneurship and the acquisition of dynamic organization capabilities. (Baron & Ensley 2006). Ireland Kuratko and Morris (2006) have demonstrated that opportunities and resulting innovations can be measured in the corporate company in terms of an entrepreneurial and innovation (E&I) culture and an E&I Intensity that can be compared with that of the industry group. Indeed the resulting Corporate E&I profile can be used to identify areas of training to enhance E&I intensity. Hazelton and Gillin (2008) have adapted the Ireland et al audit to social entrepreneurship and find similar outcomes effecting intensity and training.

A growing body of work approaches the question of understanding entrepreneurship within the terms of the concept of entrepreneurial cognition. Thus, in their effort to understand how decision and action are shaped by informational input in the entrepreneurial process, Mitchell et al. pose the issue as a concern with the “knowledge structures that people use to make assessments, judgments or decisions involving opportunity evaluation, venture creation and growth” (Mitchell et al., 2003, Mitchell et al. 2004). Extending this approach, Mitchell et al. (2005) postulate that entrepreneurs rely more heavily on intuitive abilities than on rational analytic faculties when identifying new business opportunities—a proposition supported by evidence from La Pira & Gillin’s (2006) research. Such choices can be made quickly and without apparent use of rational and systematic processes (Busenitz et al., 2003). In a notable study on opportunity recognition comparing novice and repeat entrepreneurs, Baron et al. (2006) have shown that in detecting meaningful patterns, the repeat entrepreneurs discerned both richer content and also the holistic
features of entrepreneurial systems. Indeed, it is likely that such intuitive perception draws in part at least on tacit energetically encoded information sensed and processed by the entrepreneur’s psychophysiological systems, as the evidence suggests from the collaborative research conducted by a team of researchers from the AGSE and Institute of HeartMath (Gillin et al., 2007; Bradley et al., 2008).

In an epistemological work, aimed to clarify the direction of research on entrepreneurial cognition and decision-making, Mitchell et al. (2007) pose a series of key research questions for investigating the important “thinking-doing” relationship in entrepreneurship systems. They create a set of so-called “scientific milestones” to mark entrepreneurial cognition on the basis of a “boundaries and exchange” logic (Busenitz et al., 2003) in which researchers utilized concepts and principles from other scientific fields. Such an effort would begin with concepts from contributing fields, and then, through cross-disciplinary exchange, demarcate the boundaries of a new field, to ultimately result in “a working definition of the field” (Mitchell et al., 2004: 3).

Mitchell et al. (2007, p. 6) go on to list four perspectives which they believe offer promise for research on entrepreneurial cognition and decision making: “(1) the use of heuristic-based logic (e.g., Baron, 1998; Busenitz & Barney, 1997; Simon, Houghton, & Aquino, 2000); (2) perceptual processes/entrepreneurial alertness (e.g., Gaglio & Katz, 2001; Kirzner, 1979, 1985); (3) the entrepreneurial information processing-based expertise approach (e.g., Gustavsson, 2004; Mitchell et al., 2000; Mitchell, Smith et al., 2002); and (4) the effectuation approach “decision making under uncertainty” (Sarasvathy, 2001a, 2001b)”. To investigate entrepreneurial systems, Mitchell et al (2007) find Fiske and Taylor’s (1984) major social cognitive categories—(1) person, (2) situation, (3) cognition, and (4) motivation—as relevant to the basic questions that should be investigated in any research in this area.

Among the research questions listed by Mitchell et al. (2007), two questions are relevant to the theory developed in this paper. First, how do the individuals in a venture team dynamically change their entrepreneurial cognitions, attitudes, and intentions? In the theory that follows, we place the locus of change in the relations among the members of the team, which operate to activate and regulate the bio-emotional energy required to transform the entrepreneurial vision into organizational reality. And second, what cognitive differences and environments lead to heuristic-based logic and which lead to effectuation-based logic in identifying opportunity? On this important question, we focus on the energetic dynamics within the entrepreneurial system which create the potential for intuitive perception, beyond that available from the memory store of prior experience. This should not be taken to mean that we do not believe that rational and logical cognitions are not also involved in achieving entrepreneurial success.

Finally, a third way in which the work presented here responds to Mitchell et al.’s (2007) call is that it is consistent with the definition of cognition that they urge be adopted in future research. They suggest that a fruitful concept of cognition should encompass all processes by which sensory input is transformed, reduced, elaborated, stored, recovered, and used. Our primary focus is on an important but little-studied aspect of sensory perception—namely, the processing of energetically-encoded sensory information by the members of the entrepreneurial system. As will become clear in what follows, the sensing and processing of the psychophysiological and socioemotional interactions among the members is key to understanding not only the dynamics and function of the entrepreneurial system, but also its access to nonlocal information.

TRANSFORMATIONAL DYNAMICS: EVIDENCE FROM CHARISMATIC SYSTEMS

One assumption underlying our thinking is that there are important ways in which the nature, relational organization, and transformational dynamics of highly effective entrepreneurial systems is similar to or even the same as that of charismatic systems. In both systems the key social actor—the entrepreneur and the charismatic leader, respectively—functions to mobilize and inspire action on the basis of faith that a new, innovative enterprise, that breaks with and goes beyond the rationality of the established social order is a viable and achievable reality. Max Weber characterized the authority accorded to a charismatic leader on this basis as irrational and highly unstable; visionary entrepreneurs are often greeted with the same disparagement (Tourish & Robson 2006). Rather than being grounded in custom or tradition, or the
precepts of the so-called modern rational-legal order, it is our contention that, via the bio-emotional energy radiating from their body’s psychophysiological systems, authentic entrepreneurs and charismatic leaders sense and process an entirely different source of information which contains implicit, energetically-encoded information about distant objects and future events. It is this rationality—the potentials and possibilities spectrally enfolded in the intersecting energy wave fields radiating from all objects—that is being tapped by genuine visionaries and prophets of the future.

A second similarity between entrepreneurial and charismatic systems is that both must mobilize and align enormous quantities of bio-emotional energy from their members to construct the new business enterprise or the new social order. Such innovative change or social transformation requires additional energy beyond that necessary for maintaining existing order. As described below, the source of this additional bio-emotional energy must come from the members as individual physical beings. However, without appropriate social controls, increased activation of this energy brings problems of psychosocial instability.

To our knowledge, aside from anecdotal descriptions (Baron, 2006, Mitchell et al 2007), there is little empirical evidence providing a detailed image of the nature, structural organization, and dynamics of the relations among members within entrepreneurial systems (Roberts & King, 1996 is an exception). However, Bradley (1987) has conducted a detailed investigation of the relational organization and dynamics of charismatic systems. While we do not claim that his results characterize the social organization of all entrepreneurial systems, we believe that his findings offer an important clue to the dynamics of transformation in entrepreneurial systems dedicated to creating new enterprises that represent a significant break with established business/economic order. His research is also useful in another way, in that it demonstrates the utility of social network analysis methods for investigating the endogenous organization of entrepreneurial systems.

As part of his participation in a nationwide, longitudinal study of 57 U.S. urban communes (Bradley, 1987; Carlton-Ford, 1993; Zablocki, 1980), Bradley found two patterns of social relations that characterize the social structure of charismatic systems. The study used the methods of network analysis to obtain detailed empirical images of social structure by enumerating all possible pair-wise relations (dyads) within each commune. As illustrated by the examples shown in Figure 1, one is a dense network—a mandala-like pattern—of “loving” relations interconnecting virtually all members. This network is organized as an undirected order—a distributed, massively parallel web of positive affective bonds in which individuals are essentially interchangeable. The second pattern is a densely interlocking hierarchy of “power” relations that also extends to connect virtually all individuals. This network is organized as a directed order—a highly stratified social control system of asymmetrical, transitively ordered relations that define, for each individual, a location that is spatially and temporally identified, and therefore is unique. As described below, this system of power relations operates as an actualization hierarchy, rather than as a hierarchy of domination.

Bradley found that the relationship between the two patterns of relations was strongly associated with group stability—survival 12 months into the future—in the charismatic groups. This can be seen in the sociograms and bar charts in Figures 1 and 2, respectively, where it is evident that group stability is associated with a balance in the incidence and structural organization of love and power relations (Bradley, 1987; Bradley & Roberts, 1989a). Of particular note was his finding that when present as a resident member of the group, the charismatic leader acts as an autocatalytic agent on the group’s relational structure, mobilizing virtually all of the available positive affective energy, which must be offset by a similar degree of social regulation or control to sustain collective. He also found that this complementary relationship between positive affective energy and social control, at a lower level of relational activation, held in the noncharismatic communes (Bradley, 1987, Chap. 7) and in other kinds of face-to-face collectives, such as “group projects” in undergraduate classes (Bradley, 1987: 216-219; 255, 258-259) and in groups of policy entrepreneurs (Roberts & Bradley, 1991). These findings suggest that a general order of endogenous dynamics, involving the arousal and regulation of affective energy and its relation to collective function, is operative in all social collectives (Bradley, 1987, Chap. 10).
Figure 1. Sociograms Showing Structure of Positive Affect Relations (left) and Power Relations in Charismatic Groups (middle: with Resident & Absentee Charismatic Leaders) and Non-Charismatic Groups (right: with High and Low Charismatic Potential). © Bradley, 1987.
Figure 2. Bar Charts Showing Triadic Structure of Loving & Power Relations by Charismatic Type. © Bradley, 1987

Figure 3. Scatter Plot of Flux (relations of positive affect) and Power (social control) by Stability (survival status, 24 months from Time 1). © Bradley & Pribram, 1998
Following up on these findings, Bradley and Pribram (1998) used multivariate discriminant function analysis to investigate the relationship between different combinations of positive affect and power at a given point in time, and survival two years later, in four different classifications of groups. The scatterplot in Figure 3 summarizes their major findings: the measure of power (labeled as “Control”) is plotted on the vertical ordinate, and the measure of “positive affect” (“Flux,” explained below) is plotted on the horizontal ordinate; stable groups are shown as solid black dots, unstable groups as hollow dots.

The results for the 46 communes show that most groups are scattered along the axis of the main diagonal of the field formed by positive affect and power. They form a triangular pattern that narrows, progressively, as higher values of positive affect and power are observed, and a pattern that alternates between four bands of unstable and stable groups. The differences between these four groupings of communes (separated by the three diagonal lines in Figure 3), in terms of their patterns of positive affective attachment, power, and future stability, are statistically significant (Bradley & Pribram, 1998: 46-50). The groups tend to cluster in the mid-region where the values of positive affect and power are more or less in balance. It can be seen that location in this space is associated with a high probability of survival in the future: these complementary couplings of the two relations were found to predict the survival status of communes 24 months into the future. However, location in the peripheral areas, denoted by extreme unbalanced combinations of positive affect and power, is more likely to predict non-survival over this time.

Of particular interest, given the focus of this paper, is the evidence of the charismatic leader’s catalyzing effect in mobilizing the socioemotional energy of the members in these transformational groups. There are five groups in the apex of the triangle in Figure 3 where virtually all possible bonds of positive affect and those of social control are in effect. All four of the groups with resident charismatic leaders in the sample are clustered in this high affective energy-high social control region; three of the four survive.

In presenting the theory that follows, we assume that these same socioemotional dynamics involving the bio-emotional energy activated by the bonds of positive affect among group members and collective relations of social control also hold, in general terms, in entrepreneurial systems. Indeed, it can be postulated that the greater the transformational goal of the entrepreneurial system—the more the entrepreneur’s innovative idea for a new future business enterprise departs from that of established economic order—the greater the likelihood that these socioemotional dynamics will hold.

**THEORY**

We begin with Bradley and Pribram’s (1998) postulate that, ontologically, a rigorous concept of energy, or its equivalent (e.g., Rosenstein, 1997), is fundamental to an understanding of collective organization (Prigogine & Stengers, 1984; Salthe, 1993). Energy is a measure of the means—the fuel—for maintaining order in the face of challenge (novelty) or changing an order in the face of inertia. Energy is also the medium for information processing, the medium for encoding and relaying communications as signals back and forth among the elements of a system.

Following Bradley and Pribram’s (1998) lead, we then assume that collective social organization, whether a dyadic relationship, a group, or an organization, first and foremost, is a relationship of collaboration (or cooperation)—of individuals working together in relation to a common function, purpose, or goal. To collaborate entails work—work, that is, in the form of physical behavior and social interaction—and work requires a supply of biological energy. It is assumed that the individuals in the collective are the source of this energy, and that they expend this energy as they interact in working toward a common outcome.

**Energy Activation and Control**

Membership in a group establishes a bond of positive affective attachment by which the individuals are attuned to one another at a common resonant bio-emotional energetic frequency. Insofar as the group’s relations are primarily charged with positive emotions such as love, appreciation, and respect and largely free of negative affects such as anger, frustration, and resentment, a coherent order of socioemotional connection emerges to create a network of communication channels linking the bio-emotional wave fields
among all members (see Figure 4). As described below, this socioemotional field is the energetic means by which information about the order of the group as a whole is communicated to all members.
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**Figure 4.** Energetic Resonance and the Emergence of Communication Channels in a Multi-Object System. © Bradley, 2007a

The group operates on this field of psychosocial connection in order to *activate* individuals to action by *arousing* affective attachments among members; arousal of affective bonds *excites* emotions, thereby mobilizing the individual’s biological propensity for action and, thus, the *potential* for expending energy (Pribram & McGuinness, 1975). In addition to activation of the pool of available potential energy, collaboration also requires direction and regulation: that each individual’s expenditure of this energy in physical action be coordinated, modulated, and directed toward the group’s objective, and *not* be dissipated in other irrelevant activity.

**Communication of Energetic Information**

The collaborations within the group that form the communication system are formed by the interpenetration of relations among members organized along two dimensions, in which the values allocated in each dimension define points in a *socioemotional field* (see Figure 5). The values on the horizontal dimension represent *flux*, the amount of activation of *affective energy* (potential bio-emotional energy) in a group. This network of affective energy is the medium through which transmission of all interactions—both verbal and nonverbal communication—within the collective occurs. *It provides the ontological means by which members sense and “read” each other’s actions.*

The values on the vertical dimension represent the amount of *control*, the degree to which each member’s behavior is regulated by an ordered network of hierarchical constraints exercised at that location. By differentially constraining the paths by which individuals expend their energy, both with respect to specific locations in space and with respect to particular moments in time, the hierarchy of controls *in-form* (literally, *give shape to*) the organization of collective action. Thus, the interaction between flux and control operates as a communication system that distributes energetically-encoded information about all exogenous and endogenous interactions throughout the group. It is expected, therefore, that the operation of hierarchical controls on the relations of affective energy generates a succession of energy-based informational units as a moment-by-moment description of the collective’s internal organization, encoded in terms of both structure (spatial-temporal position) and flux (distribution of energy) (Bradley & Pribram, 1998).

---

4 It is noted that this is a simplified description for the sake of clarity and that the actual communication process is much more complex and dynamic.
Because the units of information are energetic, Gabor’s (1946) energy-based concept of information (the logon) can be used to describe them. Gabor’s concept of information—the encoding of information in energy oscillations at any frequency—is a general concept that applies to energetic information communication at both the 4-dimensional macro-scale world and the micro-scale of quantum reality.

Figure 5. Model of Relationship Between Energy Activation and Social Regulation and Organizational Function/Transformation. © Bradley & Pribram, 1998.

Logons are not discrete units of information but overlap with each other and occur as a modularized series of space–time-constrained sinusoids in which the data in each module are spectrally enfolded, to some degree, into the data of adjoining logons (see Figure 6). This overlap among logons has a significant implication for information communication from the future, in that each logon, in Gabor’s words, contains an “overlap [with] the future” (Gabor, 1946: 437; our addition and emphasis). This means, in effect, that each unit of information, by virtue of its spectral enfoldment with adjoining units, contains information about the future order energetically encoded in the unit that succeeds it (Bradley, 1998; Bradley & Pribram, 1998). This provides the members with an informational basis for intuitive anticipations of the group’s future order.

Viewed in these terms, the succession of descriptions within space-time and spectral coordinates are logon-like units of information. These units of information are enfolded into the movement of bio-emotional energy throughout the group, and, to the degree to which a coherent order of positive affective attachment
interconnects all members, are communicated throughout the collective by a quantum-holographic process, thereby giving shape to the group’s action on a moment-by-moment basis. In short, the interaction between a network of affective bonds and a hierarchy of social controls operates as a quantum-holographic information processing system that in-forms the transformation of potential energy into an emergent stable order of effective collective work. The logic of the theory is summarized in Figure 7.

**Dynamics of Energy Activation and Control**

![Diagram of Dynamics of Energy Activation and Control]

---

**Organizational Stability**

However, there are limits on the total amount of information generated by flux and control that can be processed efficiently. Amounts that fall outside the limits—amounts that either exceed the communicative processing capacity, or amounts that are insufficient to in-form the collaborative activation and expenditure of energy—increase the likelihood of endogenous disorganization and result in collective dysfunction and instability.

The coordinates representing the socioaffective field and the group’s behavior in space-time can be brought together to define a three-dimensional phase space: a dimension each for flux and control, respectively, and a third for the group’s expenditure of energy in space and time. Each coordinate value in this phase space depicts a different configuration of the relations of flux and control, and its associated potential for generating viable or non-viable states of collective action (Figure 5). Thus, different regions in this phase space can be thought of, in the terms of non-linear dynamics, as attractors for distinct action states (compare the clusters of groups in Figure 3 with the “action spaces” in Figure 5).

It is worth noting that this three-dimensional phase space is different from the Hilbert phase space of Gabor’s informational unit, the logon, described above, where the space(time) features of objects are linearly translated into spectral (energy-frequency) space for communication. Here, in this phase space for action, the collective’s activated potential energy is transformed, via non-linear dynamics, into emergent, organized activity; the hierarchy of controls operates as an actualization structure by which the group directs aroused affective energy of its members into stable patterns of purposeful collective action. In this way, the group is an agent for action.

**Requisites for Functional and Transformational Organization**

Functional (and thus stable) organization requires a certain minimum of energy, and also that a minimum of direction be given to the expenditure of that energy: that all members are interconnected by at least one
bond of affective attachment (flux) and one relation of control. If these minimum values for communication are not met, dysfunction results and non-viable or unstable states of order are created.

Beyond the threshold of these minima, the range of low values for stable organization narrows progressively from many different loosely coupled combinations of flux and control to a close complementary coupling involving high values of both. When communication is minimally efficient, the former fits best with the simple or repetitive activities of routine organization. On the other hand, when the amount and speed of information processing is maximally efficient, the pattern of communication corresponds to the constantly changing pattern of energy activation and expenditure that characterizes the “flow state” (Csikszentmihalyi, 1975) of innovative organization.

Finally, in the terms of Figure 5, there is a discontinuity in the values defining functional organization, giving rise to a pattern of extremely high values that create the potential for system transformation, as can occur in charismatic organizations (see Bradley, 1987). When energy activation is maximized thus, organizational stability is problematic and requires an equivalent level of control—a tight, one-to-one coupling between flux and control. We postulate that when these same high values of flux and control are actualized and tightly coupled in entrepreneurial groups and organizations, system transformation is a likely consequence.

Socioemotional Coherence and Intuition

To relate the organizational dynamics of energy activation and control just described to the potential for nonlocal intuition in the entrepreneurial system, we need to provide a brief overview of Bradley’s (2006) quantum-holographic theory of nonlocal communication. Developed as an important element in explaining the success of repeat entrepreneurs, the theory focuses on entrepreneurial nonlocal intuition—that aspect of intuition involving accurate foreknowledge of a future event that informs entrepreneurial decision and action that is not based on reason or logic, or on memories or extrapolations from the past. The theory explains how information about a future event is spectrally enfolded in the radiation of energy as an implicit field of information which exists as a domain apart from space and time. Passionate attentional bio-emotional energy directed to the object of interest (such as a potential future business opportunity) attunes the psychophysiological systems—via energetic resonance—to the quantum level of the object. The incoming wave field of energy radiating from the object to the percipient contains energetic information, encoded as a quantum hologram, on the object’s future potential. The body’s perception of such implicit information is experienced as intuition.

However, in addition to a passionate attentional focus on their quest for a new opportunity, entrepreneurs also direct intense, passionate intention to the object of interest as well (Roberts & King, 1996; Baron & Ensley, 2006, Rocha et al 2006). In a second paper (Bradley, 2007a), presented at last year’s AGSE conference, it was postulated that the same processes of energetic resonance involved in nonlocal intuition are also the means by which passionate intentional bio-emotional energy radiating from an individual can affect the object of interest’s actualization from potential into reality as an entity in the space-time world. Briefly, the energetic resonance between the entrepreneur’s psychophysiological systems and the nonlocal object of interest establishes a two-way quantum-holographic communication channel between the percipient and the object. The incoming wave field of energy radiating from the object to the percipient contains quantum-level information about the object’s future which is experienced as intuition. The outgoing wave field of bio-emotional energy from the entrepreneur contains a quantum hologram encoding the entrepreneur’s mental intention as energetic information which is communicated back to the nonlocal object. Part of the energy wave field containing the quantum hologram is absorbed by the object and the information it contains in-forms—gives shape to—the object’s future organization and behavior.

Drawing on the relational dynamics described above, at a psychophysiological level, modulation of the bonds of positive affect by relations of social control creates a coherent socio-emotional order which attunes the heart-based wave fields of bio-emotional energy generated within each individual to a common resonant frequency (McCraty et al., 2006). Energetic resonance among the individual wave fields produces an emergent network of communication channels through which quantum-holographically encoded information about the endogenous order of the whole system is distributed to all individuals throughout the
entrepreneurial system (Bradley, 2007b). This communication mechanism functions to in-form (literally, give shape to) the action of the system as a whole (Bradley & Pribram, 1998).

These processes of energetic resonance are greatly amplified when certain conditions are present in social groups and organizations. Such conditions are that the group has a membership boundary, an engaging shared collective purpose or ideology, and, most importantly, that its members are bio-emotionally attuned to one another through a fully interconnected network of mutually reciprocated relations of positive affect, modulated by relations of social control, as described above.

The harmonious group order that emerges from this bonding pattern generates a self-reinforcing collective field of coherent bio-emotional energy which amplifies nonlocal interaction effects, in much the same way that a signal of radio waves from distant stars and galaxies is amplified by an array of radio telescopes. By attuning all members to the same resonant socio-emotional frequency, the group generates a powerful collective receptive field of coherent bio-emotional energy through which implicit nonlocal information is accessed and amplified due to a stronger resonant feedback loop, both to the field of the group and to that of the individual member. For the individual group member, this eases the individual’s shift to a state of psychophysiological coherence (McCraty et al., 2006), which facilitates stronger access to nonlocal interaction. And to the degree to which the group collectively focuses passionate attention or passionate intention on a nonlocal object or event of common interest, the nonlocal effect is further amplified. Conversely, in socially incoherent groups, involving relations predominantly of negative affect, the wave field of collective energy is too disorganized for energetic resonance with the energy wave field from a nonlocal object. This impedes access to nonlocal information and also limits any intentional influence on the object’s future (Bradley, 2007a, 2007b).

In short, the coherent socio-emotional order functions as a receptive field that amplifies both incoming nonlocal information, via passionate attention, and also the outgoing wave field of passionate bio-emotional intentional energy directed towards the nonlocal object of interest. This not only enhances the entrepreneurial system’s reception of intuitive information about the object of interest, but also strengthens communication of the quantum hologram encoding the passionately held intention—the entrepreneur’s vision—to the object of interest (Bradley, 2007). In this way, a coherent order of socioemotional relations increases the likelihood of entrepreneurial success by enhancing the energetic signal by which future opportunities can be intuitively located and intentionally actualized into being.

CONCLUSION

By focusing on the dynamics of energy activation and regulation within entrepreneurial systems, the energetic theory presented in this work provides an understanding of how the linear processes of energy-based information communication are combined with the nonlinear processes of energy expenditure to account for the extraordinary transformational power of entrepreneurial intuitive action.

By way of summary, we began the theory with the premise that what distinguishes the entrepreneur from the ordinary business person is that the entrepreneur is committed to the implementation of a passionately-held intention—a “new” idea that defies or goes beyond the current norms and/or rationality of existing economic order. Thus we assume that the entrepreneurial system is a social order for generating innovative and/or radical business and economic change in society. The creation of something new requires change, and change requires the input of an additional amount of bio-emotional energy beyond that required for the maintenance of established social order. Therefore, to achieve the entrepreneur’s vision requires building a stable entrepreneurial system capable of generating and sustaining the bio-emotional energy required for social transformation to the new business/economic order.

The normative order of the entrepreneurial system is distinguished by a charismatic-like belief system viewing the entrepreneur as an extraordinary individual who relies on a strong intuitive ability to inform decision and action to achieve the entrepreneurial vision. In addition to attracting individuals to collaborate with the entrepreneur, the beliefs operate on the bonds of positive attachment among members to activate
each individual’s bio-emotional energy. But because aroused, unconstrained energy increases the instability of the system, the bonds of attachment must be linked as balanced coupling to relations of control to maintain social stability. When conjoined, thus, as a socioemotional field, the relations of control operate to constrain the individual’s expenditure of aroused bio-emotional energy and also to direct that energy into collective activities for realizing the entrepreneurial vision.

At a psychophysiological level, modulation of the bonds of positive affect by relations of social control creates a coherent socio-emotional order which attunes the heart-based wave fields of bio-emotional energy generated within each individual to a common resonant frequency. Energetic resonance among the individual wave fields produces an emergent network of communication channels through which quantum-holographically encoded information about the endogenous order of the whole system is distributed to all individuals throughout the entrepreneurial system. This communication mechanism functions to in-form (literally, give shape to) the action of the system as a whole.

These processes of energetic resonance are greatly amplified in groups and organizations with a coherent socio-emotional order. The coherent socio-emotional order functions as a receptive field that amplifies both incoming nonlocal information, via passionate attention, and also the outgoing wave field of bio-emotional intentional energy directed towards the nonlocal object of interest. This not only enhances the entrepreneurial system’s reception of intuitive information about the object of interest, but also strengthens communication of the quantum hologram encoding the passionately held intention—the entrepreneur’s vision—to the object of interest. In short, a coherent order of socioemotional relations increases the likelihood of entrepreneurial success by enhancing the energetic signal by which future opportunities can be intuitively located and intentionally actualized into being.

The work presented here has important implications for enhancing the effectiveness of entrepreneurial systems. It suggests that interventions that enable organizations to increase socioemotional coherence can be a valuable tool for actualizing the full potential of the entrepreneurial system (McCraty et al., 2005-2006; Tomasino, 2007). In this way, by actively monitoring and modulating the relations involved in energy activation and its expenditure in collective action, the members of the entrepreneurial system can optimize the group’s potential for stability, maximize the efficiency of endogenous energetic communication processes, increase access to intuitive information from nonlocal sources, and thereby greatly improve the likelihood of success of the entrepreneurial venture.

Notes:

1. While Hisrich, Langam-Fox and Grant (2007) have pointed to the importance of intelligence or general intellectual ability, as an important parameter in measuring entrepreneur performance, it is unfortunate that such related areas of creativity, intuition, and divergent thinking have largely been neglected in entrepreneurship research (Mitchell et al. 2005; Shane 2003).

2. The sociogram of “loving” relations in the middle of the top row labeled “Resident Charismatic Commune,” is the same group in the middle row of power hierarchies under the label “Resident Charismatic.” See, in Figure 2, the structural balance in the stable (surviving) groups between the incidence of Loving relations of the 300 triad type and the incidence of power relations of the 030T triad type.

3. The three lines shown marking the boundaries of the regions in Figure 3 were established by dividing the full sample of 46 communes into stable and unstable sets such that the probability of survival for the former was maximized while being minimized for the latter (see Bradley & Pribram, 1998). Discriminant analysis, comparing the four grouping of communes separated by the lines, provided a strong statistical confirmation of these results as 45 (98%) of the 46 communes were correctly classified by two canonical discriminant functions constructed from the measures of flux and control. It is worth noting that none of the other nine sociological variables (measuring aspects of normative and structural organization) investigated met the statistical criteria for inclusion in the multivariate stepwise procedure. A split-sample reliability analysis confirmed the generalizability of these results.

4. In addition to encoding and transmitting verbal signals as acoustical waves of energy, the flux field also encodes and conveys nonverbal signals such as eye-contact, body posture, bodily movements including gestures and physical contact, the use of symbolic objects and artifacts, etc., in the energy waves of radiant light, and physiological information on bodily function (heart activity, body temperature, etc.) in the energy waves transmitting physicochemical interactions.

5. Empirical support for this expectation comes from the PEAR studies (Nelson et al., 1984, 1991). Of particular relevance here is their finding that a bonded pair—a married couple or close family members—produce an
amplification effect more than four times that of an individual. A similar amplification effect on nonlocal communication/interaction has been found repeatedly in studies of social groups with a high degree of social coherence and a common emotionally-intense focus, such as workshops and therapy groups (see Radin, 1997a), and also in a remote viewing study in which the researchers took care create “a feeling of community and coherence of intention within the group” (Targ & Katra, 2000). The Global Consciousness Project has also found evidence of the amplification of nonlocal effects in social aggregations by conducting a meta-analysis of the random number generator output associated with 104 global events of worldwide mass interest (Radin, 2002). Together, these findings document a significantly enhanced amplification of the nonlocal effect of attentional and intentional bio-emotional energy in coherent groups and large-scale social aggregations. These findings are consistent with the conclusion of Nelson et al. (1998), who list group resonance in emotionally meaningful contexts, subjective and emotional contents, profound personal involvement, deeply engaging communication, and spiritual engaging situations as contexts in which nonlocal intentional emotional effects are most likely.
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